



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/796,752	02/06/1997	KOJI ARAI	614.1804/HJS	9335
21171 7	590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	37
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	08/796,752	ARAI, KOJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phuongchau Ba Nguyen	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replyon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	4-12-04 AF amendment.					
,— · · _ ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-5,8,9,11 and 12 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,5,8,9,11,12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Be	ments have been received. ments have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9443) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2665

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Prosecution on the merits of this application is reopened on claims 3-5,8-9,11-12 considered unpatentable for the reasons indicated below:

Claims 3-5, 8-9, 11-12 are being rejected under 112 first and second paragraphs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 3-4, 8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains

Art Unit: 2665

subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The new matters are "k5(n-1)" {claims 3-4, line 13; claim 11, line 7}, "k#(n-1)" {claim 8, line 11}, which are not supported by the original disclosure.

5. Claim 5, 8–9, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Claims 5, 9, 12 do not recite that the data transmitted from a redundant base station, for compensating the data of an interrupted transmission path, is a summation of data of the signals transmitted between k base stations and the terminal station of a given time slot which is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). Likewise, claim 8 does not recite that the fourth signal is being transmitted only when one of transmission paths is interrupted transmission, and for compensating the data of the interrupted

Art Unit: 2665

transmission path between at least one of the radio stations and the terminal station.

The omission is critical and essential because without summation of signal data of all base stations, there would not be a compensating signal for the lost caused the interrupted of one of the transmission paths (figure 4-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 3-5,8-9,11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding to the method claimed, claims 3-5, 8-9, 11-12 are vague and indefinite because it is not sure how many base stations would be interrupted and active, please define the "k" in each claim 3-5, 8-9, 11-12.

Art Unit: 2665

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchau Ba Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 703-308-6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2665

Page 6

Phuongchau Ba Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2665

DUC HO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Luchtto 4-19-04